Approved for Release: 2021/04/09 C05099684

BYE-1649-66 Copy / of **9**

29 August 1966

HANDLE VIA BYEMAN

EM ONLY

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Science and Technology

SUBJECT : Chronology of FULCRUM Effort With Itek

1. June 1963 - DCI convenes Purcell Panel who recommends improvement program for CORONA.

2. October 1963 - DCI asks Dr. Wheelon for CORONA Payload Improvement Proposal.

3. October 1963 - CIA convenes Drell Committee for basic CORONA examination.

4. February 1964 - Drell Committee report supports CIA position.

5. <u>February 1964</u> - CIA contracted with Itek Corporation to determine the feasibility and potential intelligence value of using various individual sensors and combinations thereof in the satellite system.

6. <u>11 May 1964</u> - Dr. Aschenbrenner of Itek provided CIA with progress report on FULCRUM. The culmination of the effort established a desirable design of a system which would produce two to three foot resolution over a wide swath of the ground. Dr. Aschenbrenner presented three proposed camera designs; all included 120 degree scan angle. CIA agrees that twin 60" F/3 camera design desirable.

7. June 1964 - Land Panel reviews FULCRUM concept, recommends program to prove technical feasibility; DCI approves.

8. June 1964 - CIA begins alternate camera studies at PE.

9. <u>August 1964</u> - CIA receives informal word that MacMillan had started competitive efforts to FULCRUM at Eastman-Kodak, Fairchild, and Itek.

> GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and moldostification

0

Approved for Release: 2021/04/09 C05099684*

t-rorn

Ø

Approved for Release: 2021/04/09 C05099684

SUBJECT: Chronology of FULCRUM Effort with Itek

10. <u>18 September 1964</u> - Itek proposes work statement which would encompass work on both the twin 60" and the 1/4 focal length systems. Manning to accomplish both tasks extremely critical. Itek agreed to reassess their resources to determine if it feasible to pursue both configurations.

11. <u>September 1964</u> - CIA approves alternate camera design work at PE.

12. <u>23 September 1964</u> - Itek demonstrates the availability of personnel, and CIA authorized continued work on the two configurations, emphasizing the twin 60 optical bar has priority.

13. <u>5 November 1964</u> - As a result of Itek slip behind in their progress of FULCRUM effort, meeting was held and Itek asked to state advantages and disadvantages of continuing effort on the 1/3 (formerly 1/4) focal length. As a result of this meeting, CIA directed that the 1/3 focal length work be cancelled.

14. <u>23 November 1964</u> - Itek writes letter recommending 1/3 focal length breadboard be continued. Also, shortly thereafter, Dick Philbrick wrote letter to Dr. Wheelon stating that cancellation of 1/3 focal length was a mistake.

15. <u>11 January 1965</u> - During preparation for DCI/Land briefing on 18 January, conversation with CIA personnel and Philbrick and Levison concerning prerogatives of program was held. Following this discussion, Mr. Maxey talked to Mr. Wolfe of Itek and suggested that he and Wolfe must insure good management relations between the Government and Itek for the sake of both FULCRUM and CORONA programs. Mr. Wolfe agreed.

16. <u>16 January 1965</u> - Informal gathering at Mr. Lindsay's residence gave every indication that earlier differences had been resolved and management most anxious to get on with job.

17. <u>18 January 1965</u> - Briefing at Itek to Mr. McCone, DOD personalities, and Dr. Land. Privately, Mr. Lindsay advised Mr. McCone of competitive work that Itek was doing to FULCRUM for the Air Force. During the course of the briefing, Mr. McCone asked Mr. Lindsay if the FULCRUM approach was the best approach. Lindsay, Wolfe, and Levison

CORONA SECRET HEXAGIN 2 HAND

HANDLE VIA BYEMAN

Approved for Release: 2021/04/09 C05099684

G

SUBJECT: Chronology of FULCRUM Effort with Itek

all answered affirmative, though answers well couched. * However, eventually had to agree that, considering the technical reach, manufacturability, and operability, the FULCRUM design was the optimum.

Approved for Release: 2021/04/09 C05099684 RUBUNA DECKETERADUN

18. 19 February 1965 - Mr. Lindsay directed a letter to Dr. Wheelon claiming that project office had insisted upon a 120 degree scan angle, thereby prejudicing F design. It should be noted that 120 degree scan angle did appear in all work statements prepared by Itek and also that Itek initially recommended the 120 degree scan as a result of their study contract commencing February 1964. (Please confer 11 May 1964 above.)

19. 21 February 1965 - In preparing for Land Panel briefing on 23 February, Dr. Wheelon raised the 120 degree scan angle issue in presence of Philbrick, Wolfe, Madden, and company. From discussion, it evident that Itek was not restricted to the 120 degree scan angle requirement although they were the ones who had fostered it initially.

20. 23 February 1965 - Land Panel briefing at Itek on F system as well as Air Force competitive systems. Mr. Levison and Mr. Wolfe, after briefing and while Land Panel was in executive session, informed Land that Itek was withdrawing from the FULCRUM program.

21. 25 February 1965 - Mr. Lindsay visits Mr. McCone.

22. 25 February 1965 - Mr. Madden, the Itek Project Officer, acknowledged to key project personnel, that the company would not undertake further work with the Agency under the present circumstances.

23. 2 March 1965 - Mr. Madden and Mr. Morser reject statement which Mr. Lindsay had made stating that six Itek officials threatened to resign if Itek did not terminate its association with the FULCRUM program.

24. Nearly a year later, CIA learns that the day before the Land Panel meeting of 22 February 1965, Dr. MacMillan had given a development contract to Eastman-Kodak for the Follow-On-Search-System.

25. <u>March 1965</u> - PE states they can build FULCRUM system with some modification.

BYE-1649-66

SECRET HEXAGON HANDLE VIA BYEMAN

CONTROL SYSTEM ONLY

Page 3

Approved for Release: 2021/04/09 C05099684

CORONA

0

6

SUBJECT: Chronology of FULCRUM Effort With Itek

26. July 1965 - Dr. MacMillan advises Vance and McCone that EK has been selected for new satellite search and surveillance system and that he would fund a back up following competition of Itek and PE designs.

27. August 1965 - New NRO Agreement.

28. September 1965 - PE chooses F' system over M'.

29. <u>September 1965</u> - MOL authorized. EK selected for MOL, ordered to transfer design and equipment of new search system to Itek.

30. October 1965 - Flax replaces MacMillan.

31. December 1965 - CIA first visibility into Itek/EK Designs.

32. April 1966 - ExCom approves HEXAGON Management Plan.

33. <u>May 1966</u> - CIA assumes contract responsibility for all HEXAGON sensor systems. (Itek (s-2 and f/2) and PE). RFP's issued.

34. July 1966 - HEXAGON proposals received on new search system from PE and Itek. Itek did not bid on EK s-2 system but on their own f/2.

35. August 1966 - Proposals evaluated.

36. <u>1 September 1966</u> - HEXAGON sensor sub-system source selection scheduled to brief Dr. Flax on findings.

JOHN J. CROWLEY Director Office of Special Projects

BYE-1649-66 Page 4

> HANDLE VIA BYEMAN CONTROL SYSTEM ONLY

Approved for Release: 2021/04/09 C05099684

CORONA SECRET HEXAGON

٢

Θ